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My opinion

There is a well-known legend about ancient Sanskrit scholar, Kalidasa. Before evolving into an acclaimed literary giant, he was supposedly a dimwit as depicted by him sawing the tree branch on which he was sitting [1]. However, there can be an alternate interpretation to the legend. Instead of sawing it, Kalidasa might have been just sitting on the dying branch to demonstrate how badly the tree had already been damaged so as to caution others about how unsafe the damaged tree had become for climbing. This ancient legend may be relevant for modern times as well. Without further ado, we may need more scholars like Kalidasa to recognize and demonstrate the dying branches even if, for the time-being, they are sitting on them in need of temporary support.

Times are evolving rapidly wherein it will warrant more than just fight or flight responses because competing or ignoring virtuality may not avoid eventuality. While accepting the dawn for growing dominance of virtuality and lurking irrelevance of humanity, the existential question arises that whether long drawn tenures of medical schools, residencies and fellowships can remain economically viable to acquire credentials and privileges in overspecialized medicine considering that the exclusivity to practice in medical specialties and sub-specialties is likely to be easily breached by skilled workers who all may cost-effectively acquire the minimum required practicable knowledge through open source and open access virtual interfaces.

Medicine may no longer remain the realm of institutionalized education to attain degrees because for how long sharpening of skills and acquisition of experience can be controlled and limited by regulations safeguarding the privileges for dying world of old-school wisdom in the wake of booming world of new-age virtuality. It is not clear how many specialties and sub-specialties will survive this onslaught of virtuality [2]. Therefore, it may be an intelligent decision for current practitioners of medicine to caution medical students and residents about those specialties and sub-specialties which may seem more likely to surrender first. Though this may hasten the withering away of dying specialties and sub-specialties, the practice of medicine itself may become healthier and more viable for the contracted workforce after overspecialization has been downsized because the economy strapped down by burdensome overlapping redundancies may finally be able to break free while reshaping a relevant future invested in underexplored futuristic avenues.

Basically, practitioners, administrators and regulators of specialties and sub-specialties may have to magnanimously decide on their own about which residencies and fellowships are redundant and bound to become irrelevant when virtuality as an all-knowing singularity will primarily need the skilled trainable workers to efficiently fulfill its worldly needs unlike the educated brainy learned tirelessly questioning its needs in the world. While it may remain difficult for oblivious newbies born into conflict zones and congenitally inheriting unresolvable disputes, newbies enlightened by Kalidasa-like prophecies may intelligently seek births into indispensible zones possessing untapped potential for excellence. Ironically, disputes may soon resurrect within originally indispisible zones secondary to far too many staking claims on the prizes offered inside the newly-established zones thereby forcing the intelligent insatiable ones to move away from stagnating establishments once again in search for newer horizons to establish in the world ruled by the all-knowing virtuality.

Herein, irrespective of its political undertones and ramifications, U.S. President Trump’s Executive Order on Modernizing and Reforming the Assessment and Hiring of Federal Job Candidates issued on June 26, 2020 [3] may ironically come in handy by being too futuristic because, even though it is aimed at creating, sustaining and protecting jobs for the vocationally skilled humans over the institutionally educated humans, it may turn out to be the stepping stone as an example for the future of humanity when robotics will be taking charge over our lives and humans will have to rapidly adapt, learn and acquire newer skills with little or no time at all for semester/term/tenure based education.

Although this dystopic future has been foreseen and documented by Yuval Noah Harari in his non-fiction literary works namely Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow [4] and 21 Lessons
for the 21st Centuryâ€”[5], the question is whether
this â€œinadvertently farsightedâ€ executive order
foresees national and even global reshaping of safer,
economical and sustainable healthcare provisions for
the ever-growing national and global populations with
their escalating â€œessentialâ€ healthcare needs.Â

At least for the time-being, the global outcry over
healthcare costs may seem irrelevant [6] once gauged
through the eyes of healthcare economy and
 corresponding gross world product creating jobs and
food globally especially when (a) patients as
end-users may not be wondering [7] about costs as
long as third-party payers are paying on their behalves;
(b) third-party payers may not be freely negotiating
costs with healthcare providers and facilities so as to
avoid being ostracized for their reluctance to pay
forÂ "essential" healthcare needs; and (c) healthcare
providers asÂ prescribers may not be actively seeking
awareness about costs incurred as long as their
patients are responding to their treatments and their
bills areÂ being cleared by third-party payers.

Concurrently, the often reported shortage of
healthcare workers within the society may be keeping
societal economy overall healthy because while the
overrated/overestimated shortages may be fueling
moreÂ enrollments into training programsÂ allowing the expansion of healthcare workforce to match the expansion in the createdÂ "essential" healthcare needsÂ for sustaining healthcare economy's boom,
even the underrated/underestimated shortages, while
fueling restrictions to healthcare access and thus
restricting the expansion of "essential" healthcare
needs inadvertently feeding on dwindling
non-healthcare economy, may allow gross world
product some freedom from its current
overdependence on healthcare economy to sustain jobs (and ensure food) for global populations.Â

However, to better combat against or adapt to the evolving era of inescapable robotics, the professional
societies may have to understand and resolve the core
issues of contention between physician-providers and
non-physician-providers because (a) if it is about
patient safety, the patients embracing robotics may not be facing the dilemma whether to choose their
healthcare providers based on their institutional
â€œeducationâ€ or their vocational â€œskillsâ€; (b) if it is about healthcare reimbursements, the third-party
payers embracing robotics may not be facing the dilemma whether to reimburse healthcare providers
based on their comprehensive â€œeducationâ€
incurred debts or their focused â€œskillsâ€ limited
costs; and (c) if it is about healthcare jobs, the
educational institutions embracing robotics may not be
facing the dilemma whether to teach and train the
future healthcare workers over costly marathon cycles
to inculcate â€œeducationâ€ or over timely sprinting
runs to impart â€œskillsâ€.

Essentially, the futuristic workers should start looking
beyond their human competitors by actually
negotiating smarter and farsighted collaborations
among them so that when the global economy is
reshaped/ruled/owned by robotics and growing
number of job avenues starts becoming redundant, the
innate and acquired vigor of flexible humans to acquire
faster â€œskillsâ€ without waiting for slower
â€œeducationâ€ may allow them to not only outlive
their historical human competitors but also coexist with
the robotics ruling the global economy as its future
masters.
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